



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	SB0081	<b>Title:</b>	Elect Board of Public Education
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	McGee, Dan	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced-Revised

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2  | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget        | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2012 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2013 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

**Description of fiscal impact:** SB 81 has no fiscal impact to the state. There could be an additional cost to county governments of up to \$2.5 million to hold a statewide election in conjunction with a municipal election (see Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures).

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions:

1. This bill has no fiscal impact to the state because elections are a local government expense.
2. SB 81 applies to the election held in November 2011, which is a Municipal General Election.

#### Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

1. Expanding the Municipal General Election to a statewide election will have a significant fiscal impact on county governments. Based on U.S. Census data there could be an additional cost of \$865,000 to hold a statewide election in conjunction with a municipal election. If the election would be a partisan election, thus requiring a primary nominating election in addition to the general election, the June primary election would cost approximately \$1.6 million to run – on top of the \$865,000 to run the general election.
2. If either the primary or general election were to include a run-off, there would also be a fiscal impact to county governments in the form of technology changes to existing tabulating equipment.

**Technical Notes:**

1. SB 81 could cause some fiscal impact to local governments to meet the changes. Costs to print the title of the Act, the “For” and “Against” statements, and to program the AutoMark voting machines would not create significant local government fiscal impact, if the election were held with the general election.
2. The details of how the election would be handled are not described in the bill.

---

*Sponsor’s Initials*

---

*Date*

---

*Budget Director’s Initials*

---

*Date*